

# **Environmental Applied Science Technology**

# 2019 Report on the Ecological Health of Ponds in Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada

# Prepared by:

Michelle Costello
Alicia Fleming
Ingrid Lozada
Matthew McKendrick

# **Holland College**

Verified by Bryan Grimmelt Holland College

Verified by Norman Dewar Ellen's Creek Watershed Group

March 29, 2020

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This report benefited greatly from the help of Wright's Creek Environmental Committee Board Member, John Andrew and Ellen's Creek Watershed Group (ECWG) Coordinator, Norman Dewar.

This project was undertaken with the financial support of the City of Charlottetown, Skills PEI, Hillsborough River Association, Wright's Creek Watershed Group, Ellen's Creek Watershed Group, Skills PEI, Canada Student Jobs, Holland College, and administrative support provided by the Hillsborough River Association.

# Table of Contents

1	INTE	INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES					
	1.1	SCOPE OF WORK	3				
2	DES	CRIPTION OF SITES	1				
_	DLS	THE FIGURE OF SITES	•				
3	MAT	ERIAL AND METHODS	7				
4	SAIV	SAMPLING12					
	4.1	FIELD ACTIVITIES	2				
	4.2	MACROINVERTEBRATES SAMPLING	3				
	4.3	SURFACE WATER SAMPLING	4				
	4.4	SEDIMENT SAMPLING	5				
	4.5	DATA VALIDATION	6				
	4.5.1	! Equipment Calibration	6				
	4.5.2	P Equipment Decontamination	6				
	4.5.3	B Applicable Environmental Guidelines	6				
5	RESU	JLTS1	7				
	5.1	Macroinvertebrates	7				
	5.2.2		-				
	5.2.2	·					
	5.2.3						
	5.3	SEDIMENT QUALITY					
	5.3.1						
	5.4	COMPARATIVE RESULTS					
	5.4.1						
	5.4.2						
	5.4.3						
	5.4.4						
	5.4.5						
6	DISC	USSION					
_	CON	CLUSIONS					
7	CON	CLUSIONS	D				
R	REFERENCES						
Α	APPENDIX A						
Α	APPENDIX B						
Α	APPENDIX C						
Λ.	ADDENDIV D						

## 1 INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

During the summer of 2019, Holland College Environmental Applied Science Technology students and faculty examined the ecological health of eleven (12) ponds and one (1) creek within the City of Charlottetown. One (1) reference pond was used outside the City, in Prince Edward Island (PEI), Canada. The project collected data on surface water quality, sediment chemistry and through macroinvertebrate surveys using the Hilsenhoff's Family Biotic Index (FBI), delineated the overall ecological health of the ponds sampled.

The information collected will help determine the underlying issues responsible for the relatively poor ecological health of some of the ponds surveyed. It will allow the City of Charlottetown and local watershed groups to develop action plans to improve or preserve the ecological health of the ponds studied.

#### 1.1 Scope of Work

The scope of work included the following activities:

- Collection, identification and classification of 11 macroinvertebrates samples using the biotic index card,
- Field testing of water including physicochemical parameters such as dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, temperature total dissolved solids (TDS), salinity, turbidity, and conductivity,
- Collection of 52 surface water samples for water quality and chemical analyses,
- Analysis of surface water samples for Hardness, Alkalinity, Ammonia, Phosphates, and Nitrates were performed at Environmental Applied Science Technology (EAST) Lab Laboratory, Holland College,

- Chemical Analysis of surface water samples by the PEI Analytical Lab for the following: Barium (Ba), Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Nickel (Ni), Magnesium (Mg), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Sodium (Na), Sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub>), Lead (Pb), Zinc (Zn), Manganese (Mn), Arsenic (As), Strontium (Sr), Calcium (Ca) and Faecal Coliforms,
- Collection and preparation of thirteen sediment samples for analyses,
- Analyses of the sediment samples by the PEI Analytical Laboratory for the following: Carbon (C), C:N ratio, Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Boron (B), Chromium (Cr), Iron (Fe), Manganese (Mn), and pH,
- and Interpretation of the results and preparation of this report.

#### 2 DESCRIPTION OF SITES

In total, fourteen different sites were assessed:

# Governor's Pond (GOP)

The pond occupies an approximate area of 4,002 square meters (m²) and is located at the intersection between Terry Fox Drive and Kent Street, beside the parking lot of the Government Building. The site is in a commercial and residential area. It is surrounded by the parking lot and the two roads as mentioned above. It connects directly into Charlottetown Harbour through an underground storm drain. Historically, the Governor's Pond was part of a tidal estuary.

## Dead Man's Pond (DMP)

Dead Man's Pond located in Victoria Park has an estimated area of 737 m<sup>2</sup>. The pond area is a tranquil area surrounded by forest and a popular stop on a trail system that passes adjacent to the pond.

# Lower Slick's Pond (LSP)

Part of the Hazards Creek system, the Lower Slick's Pond is visible from the Malpeque Rd (Route 2) behind Princess Auto. The pond occupies an approximate area of 1,424 m<sup>2</sup>. It is surrounded by commercial and industrial development. It is the lower of two connected ponds constructed in the sixties to provide water for cattle. The ponds do not appear to have any official name. Ellen's Creek Watershed Group (ECWG) provided the name, Slick's Ponds, after a lifelong resident of area, Alexander (Slick) Rhynes.

# MacNeill's Pond (MNP)

MacNeill's Pond is also part of Hazards Creek system. It is located at the intersection of Capital Drive and Lower Malpeque Road. MacNeill's Pond has an estimated area of 10,261 m<sup>2</sup>. It is surrounded by commercial and residential development.

# Hermitage Pond (HEP)

Hermitage Pond (also referred to as the Tremploy Pond) is situated in a residential area off Raiders Road adjacent to the Charlottetown Rural High School. It has an estimated area of 3,820 m<sup>2</sup>. The dam creating the pond is an extension of Raiders Road which ends in a cul-de-sac at Tremploy Inc. A drop culvert outlet under the road connects the pond to Hermitage Creek, and the Ellen's Creek Estuary.

# Farmers Market Pond (FMP)

Delimited by the Charlottetown Farmers Market parking lot in the North and a wetland and agricultural land in the South, Farmers Market Pond is located off Belvedere Avenue with an estimated area of 1,086 m<sup>2</sup>.

# Ag. Canada Pond (ACP)

The Ag. Canada Pond is located behind the Charlottetown Research and Development Centre of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Building of University Avenue. It occupies around 7,203 m<sup>2</sup>. It is one in a series of man-made wetlands.

# Jardine's Pond (JAP)

The Jardine's Pond occupies approximately 405 m<sup>2</sup> and its principal means of access is via a farm field behind a residential area on MacRae Drive. The site is in a wooded area surrounded by agricultural land. Upstream in the Northwest, there is an excavation pit and the Charlottetown Airport.

### Barbour's Pond (BAP)

Barbour's Pond has an estimated area of 1,096 m<sup>2</sup> and is located downstream from Jardine's Pond. Access is off MacRae Drive through a path beside the Elmer MacFadyen Memorial Recreational Complex. There is a public walking trail along the lower end of the pond.

### Andrew's Pond North (APN)

Andrew's Pond North is in a high-density residential area downstream from Barbour's Pond. It has an estimated area of 42,089 m<sup>2</sup>. Access is from the walking trail along the lower end of Barbour's Pond.

# Andrew's Pond South (APS)

Andrew's Pond South is across St. Peters Road, downstream from Andrew's Pond North. It has an estimated area of 18,769 m<sup>2</sup> and its access is from Oakland Drive.

# Reardon's Pond (REP)

Reardon's Pond has an estimated area of approximately 25,000 m<sup>2</sup>. It is part of the Pisquid Watershed. Located in between Donagh and Watervale. It is in a heavily wooded area with agricultural land nearby. The main access is from an ATV trail off a gravel road.

# Ellen's Creek (ELC)

Located in West Royalty with access from Sherwood Road.

# Cappers Pond (CAP)

Cappers Pond occupies approximately 6379 m<sup>2</sup>. The pond is in a heavily wooded area with limited access year-round. Located in a valley between New Haven and Strathgartney.

Figures 1 to 6 (Appendix A) include photographs of the ponds cited above.

#### 3 MATERIAL AND METHODS

The following materials and methods were used to conduct the sampling and the analysis:

Dissolved Oxygen was tested using the HACH 30 HQ 30d-flexi meter with a LDO probe.

pH was measured using the HACH 30 HQ 30d-flexi meter with a PHC 101 probe.

Conductivity was determined using the HACH sensION5 portable conductivity meter.

Turbidity was measured with a HACH 2100P Turbidimeter.

Nitrate was determined using HACH Method 10206, Nitrate TNTplus® Vial Test 835 (Range 0.2-13.5 mg/L NO<sub>3</sub>-N).

Ammonia-N was determined using HACH Method 10205, Ammonia TNTplus® Vial Test 832 (Range: 2-47 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N).

Phosphorous was determined using HACH Method 10209, Phosphorus TNTplus® Vial Test 843 (Range: 0.05-1.50 mg/L PO<sub>4</sub>-P, 0.15-4.50 mg/L PO<sub>4</sub>)

Hardness was analyzed following the APHA (American Public Health Association) Standard Method 2340 for Hardness. The titrations were done in triplicates, with one blank before the samples were tested and QC (Charlottetown tap water) done before and after the samples were tested. Hardness was calculated using the following equations:

Molarity of Cations 
$$\left(\frac{mols}{L}\right)$$

$$= \frac{Volume\ of\ titrant\ used\ (mL)x\ titrant\ Molarity\ (M)}{Volume\ of\ sample\ used\ (mL)}$$

$$Hardness\left(\frac{mg\ of\ CaCO_3}{L}\right)$$

$$= Molarity\ of\ Cations\ x\ Moleular\ Weight\left(\frac{100.0869g}{mol}\right)\ x\ \frac{1000mg}{1g}$$

Hardness materials and reagents:

• 1000ml Volumetric Flasks, Fisherbrand.

- 100-1000µl Pipette, Fisherbrand.
- 0.01M EDTA
- 0.1% Calmagite Indicator Catalog 1830-4, Ricca
- 125ml Erlenmeyer Flasks, Fisherbrand.
- 250ml Beakers, Kimax Kumble.
- 250ml Erlenmeyer Flasks, Fisherbrand.
- 25ml Graduated Cylinder, Kimax Kumble.
- 25ml Volumetric Flasks, Fisherbrand.
- 500µl and 1000µl Pipette, Eppendorf.
- 50ml Burette, Kimax Kumble.

Alkalinity was analyzed following the APHA (American Public Health Association) Standard Method 2320 for Alkalinity using hydrochloric acid as a titrant. The titrations were done in triplicates, with one blank before the samples were tested and QC (Charlottetown tap water) done before and after the samples were tested. Alkalinity was calculated using the following equations:

Alkalinity 
$$\left(\frac{mg \ of \ CaCO_3}{L}\right)$$

$$= \frac{Volume \ of \ titrant \ used \ (mL)x \ Molarity \ of \ titrant \ (M)x \ 50,000}{Volume \ of \ sample \ used \ (mL)}$$

Alkalinity materials and reagents:

- 1000ml Volumetric Flasks, Fisherbrand.
- 100-1000µl Pipette, Fisherbrand.
- 0.1N HCl.
- 125ml Erlenmeyer Flasks, Fisherbrand.

- 250ml Beakers, Kimax Kumble.
- 250ml Erlenmeyer Flasks, Fisherbrand.
- 25ml Graduated Cylinder, Kimax Kumble.
- 25ml Volumetric Flasks, Fisherbrand.
- 500μl and 1000μl Pipette, Eppendorf.
- 50ml Burette, Kimax Kumble.
- HACH HQ 30d-flexi meter with PHC101 probe.

**Family Biotic Index.** The Hilsenhoff's Family Biotic Index (FBI) was used to assess the water quality condition (Hilsenhoff 1988).

FBI materials and reagents:

- Fisher brand 0.5mm mesh
- Microscope Stereo Master II, Model SPT-ITH manufactured by Fisher Scientific
- 70% Isopropyl alcohol

First, the samples were washed very gently in a fine sieve, removing as much mud and fine detritus as possible. Small amounts of each sample were placed in a white tray with approximately 10mm depth of water, and the material was spread out across the tray. The invertebrates were carefully sorted using tweezers and placed in beakers and weigh boats. To sort the next portion of the sample, the material was discarded, and the tray filled with clean water, and the process was repeated until the entire sample was sorted.

The animals were identified to their family level by using the keys by Voshell (2002). The results were recorded and prior to sorting the next sample, all the

equipment used was thoroughly cleaned.

A microscope (Stereo Master II, Model SPT-ITH manufactured by Fisher Scientific) was used to help with the identification. Some specimens were preserved in 70% isopropanol and stored in the fridge at a temperature around 0°C for further use in the EAST program at Holland College.

The Hilsenhoff's Family Biotic Index (FBI) was used to assess the water quality condition (Hilsenhoff 1988). Tolerance values for the invertebrate families were assigned based on Bode et al (1996); Hauer & Lamberti (1996); Hilsenhoff (1988); Plafkin et al (1989); and Barbour et al. (1999). The following formula was used to obtain the FBI and the results were evaluated using Table 1.

$$FBI = \sum \frac{(xi \times ti)}{n}$$

x =the number of individual taxa, t =tolerance value, and n =total number of invertebrates in the sample.

Table 1 Evaluation of water quality using Hilsenhoff's Family Biotic Index (Hilsenhoff, 1998)

Family	Biotic	Water Quality	Degree of Organic Pollution
Index		, valer Quarty	Degree of Organic Politation
0.00 - 3.75		Excellent	Organic pollution unlikely
3.76 - 4.25		Very Good	Possible slight organic pollution
4.26 - 5.00		Good	Some organic pollution probable
5.01 - 5.75		Fair	Fairly substantial pollution likely

5.76 - 6.50	Fairly Poor	Substantial pollution likely
6.51 - 7.25	Poor	Very substantial pollution likely
7.26 - 10.00	Very Poor	Severe organic pollution likely

#### 4 SAMPLING

### 4.1 FIELD ACTIVITIES

Field activities were performed in two rounds each month. The first round would occur in approximately the first two weeks of each month and the second round would take place in the third and fourth weeks of the month. One round was for collecting in-situ data and the other round was to collect any samples required as well as in-situ data to support the samples.

Each day, ponds would be selected to be sampled based upon the location of the ponds and the weekly objectives. Field equipment was thoroughly decontaminated with several rinses of deionized water between ponds.

A reference pond was selected to examine if freshwater ponds within Charlottetown are uniquely different from ponds outside the City. A new reference pond was selected this year after Cappers Pond was determined to not be a good reference pond anymore. The reasons for abandoning Cappers as a reference include that runoff from nearby construction was observed to be flowing into the pond as well as it appeared to be a recreational location. Rope swings, fire pits and lots of bottles and broken glass were seen. Reardon's Pond was selected as a new reference pond because it is located in a rural area, access is from a gravel road with low traffic, and it is mainly forested with some agricultural land nearby.

Invertebrate samples and surface water samples were collected for analyses at relatively the same location in each pond for each round. At each pond, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, pH, salinity, temperature, total dissolved solids (TDS) and turbidity measurements were completed in-situ. Sediment samples were collected once at each pond ranging over the rounds of sampling.

By the end, a total of 11 invertebrate samples, 13 sediment samples and 52 surface water samples were collected. See Appendix C for sampling locations.

During the field activities, the Holland College Health & Safety Plan was followed. Prior to initiating any activities, an evaluation was performed to detect any possible danger. It was decided that the collection of all samples would be performed from the edges of the ponds because the depth of water in some ponds, and the risk of entrapment in soft sediment.

#### 4.2 MACROINVERTEBRATES SAMPLING

Invertebrates were sampled at the eleven (11) different sites. Lower Slick's Pond and MacNeill's pond were not sampled due to hazards that could not be mitigated.

The samples were collected at each site using a 400µm mesh net. Each pond was sampled for 3 minutes in total, where the 3 minutes refers to net-in-the-water time and it did not include the time moving between netting spots. Then, the samples were placed in 10.5 liter plastic buckets, labeled, and brought to the Environmental Applied Science Technology (EAST) Laboratory at Holland College where they were sorted and processed.

#### 4.3 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING

Three surface water samples were collected at each pond between June 4, 2019 and September 5, 2019. See Appendix C for the sampling coordinates.

The water quality was assessed by measuring several physicochemical parameters. Field measurements of pH, temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen (DO), salinity, turbidity, and total dissolved solids (TDS) were recorded. Dissolved oxygen was measured using a HACH HQ 30d-flexi meter with a LDO probe. pH levels were measured using a HACH HQ 30d-flexi meter with a PHC 101 probe. Conductivity was measured using handheld HACH sensION5. Turbidity was measured with a HACH 2100P Turbidimeter. Water samples were collected with a 6-foot HDPE Dip Sampler, at some locations the probes were placed directly in the pond.

The equipment used for the surface water sampling was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation prior to starting the field measurements.

Surface water samples were collected using a dip sampler. The device was extended to the sample location and sample was collected by dipping the sampler into the water 15 cm. The pond water was transferred from the sampler to two (2) clean 500 ml home canning glass jars (commonly referred to as Mason jars) that were filled to the top without leaving an air space. The jars were labeled, stored in coolers with ice at temperatures below 4 °C (± 2 °C), and brought to the EAST lab.

In the field, a 250 ml sample from each pond was placed into a plastic bottle

provided by the PEI Analytical Laboratory, labeled and stored in coolers with ice at temperatures below 4 °C (± 2 °C). Samples were delivered that afternoon to PEI Analytical Lab. In total, twelve samples, one for each pond, were analysed for Barium (Ba), Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Nickel (Ni), Magnesium (Mg), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Sodium (Na), Sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub>), Lead (Pb), Zinc (Zn), Manganese (Mn), Arsenic (As), Strontium (Sr), Calcium (Ca) and Faecal Coliforms.

Additionally, samples were analyzed at the EAST Lab for Hardness, Alkalinity, Ammonia, Phosphate, and Nitrate.

#### 4.4 SEDIMENT SAMPLING

One sediment sample was collected at each pond between June 19 and September 5, 2019. Location of samples are presented in Appendix C.

Samples were collected using an auger and they were stored in 10.5 liter-buckets, labeled, and brought to the EAST Laboratory at Holland College. At the Lab, the samples were placed on a tray and dried in the Fisher Scientific Isotemp oven at 105°C for 48 hours. The dry samples were stored in airtight sealed plastic bags.

A portion of each sample (approximately 100g) was placed in bags provided by the PEI Analytical Lab, and delivered to the lab where samples were analyzed for Carbon (C), C:N ratio, Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Boron (B), Iron (Fe), Manganese (Mn), Chromium (Cr) and pH. The remaining samples collected were kept in the EAST lab to be used for further analyses.

#### 4.5 DATA VALIDATION

## 4.5.1 Equipment Calibration

Prior to initiating fieldwork activities, equipment used for recording physicochemical data was calibrated on a weekly basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

# 4.5.2 Equipment Decontamination

All non-disposable lab equipment was decontaminated before and after each sample collection event using the following procedure: washing and rinsing of equipment with fresh water and Fisherbrand<sup>TM</sup> Sparkleen<sup>TM</sup> Detergent with disposable sponges and brushes; rinsing with fresh water; and re-rinsing with de-ionized water.

All non-disposable field equipment and personal equipment such as nets, samplers, and waders were cleaned and inspected between different pond groups. All plants, animals, and mud were removed using high pressure and hot tap water. Eventually, the equipment was decontaminated with bleach following the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) recommendations.

## 4.5.3 Applicable Environmental Guidelines

The federal guidelines were used to detect exceedances in water and sediment quality parameters under baseline conditions. The guidelines used to assess baseline water and sediment quality were:

• Canadian Council of the Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Canadian

Environmental Quality Guidelines (CEQG) for the Protection of Aquatic Life,

• and the CCME Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines (CSQG).

#### 5 RESULTS

#### 5.1 MACROINVERTEBRATES

The results of the macroinvertebrates sorted and identified, as well as the FBI results are included in Table 1 of the Appendix B. Figure 1 presents a summary of the evaluation of water quality for each pond using Hilsenhoff's Family Biotic Index. The FBI is a scale for showing the quality of an environment by indicating the types of organisms present in it. It is often used to assess the quality of water in rivers.




Figure 1. Hilsenhoff's Family Biotic Index.

Using the index, the ecological health of Hermitage Pond and Governor's Pond were classified as "Very Poor". Barbour's Pond and Andrew's Pond South were

classified as "Poor". Farmers Market Pond, Ag. Canada Pond and Jardine's Pond were classified as "Fairly Poor". Reardon's Pond, Ellen's Creek and Andrew's Pond North were classified as "Fair". Dead Man's Pond was classified as "Good".

# 5.2 Surface Water Quality

## 5.2.1 Physicochemical Parameters

During the sampling of surface water, field measurements of pH, temperature, conductivity, salinity, total dissolved solids (TDS), turbidity, and dissolved oxygen (DO) were recorded. The results are presented in Figures 2 - 8 below. All data below are averages for 2019. See raw data in Appendix C.

The pH values ranged from 5.58 in Dead Man's Pond to 8.22 in Andrew Pond South. See Figure 2.




Figure 2. pH in surface water

Temperature ranges from 12.2 °C in Ellen's Creek to 21.7 °C in Dead Man's Pond. See Figure 3.

Temperature (°C) 25.0 20.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 0.0 REP APS JAP ACP APN BAP **FMP** DMP GOP HEP MNP LSP

Figure 3. Temperature in Surface Water

With regards to dissolved oxygen, readings ranged from 3.45 mg/L in Dead Man's Pond to 14.25 mg/L in Andrew's Pond South. See Figure 4.





Figure 4. Dissolved Oxygen


Conductivity values ranged from 3.3  $\mu S/cm$  at Governor's Pond to 1236  $\mu S/cm$  at MacNeill's Pond. See Figure 5.

Figure 5. Conductivity



Salinity values ranged from 0.0 ‰ at Dead Man's Pond to 1.6 ‰ at Governor's Pond. See Figure 6.

Figure 6. Salinity



Total dissolved solids (TDS) vales ranged from 13.8 mg/L at Dead Man's Pond to 1552 mg/L at Governor's Pond. See Figure 7.

TDS (mg/L)

1600

1200

800

400

REP APS APN BAP JAP FMP ACP DMP GOP HEP MNP LSP ELC

Figure 7. Total Dissolved Solids

Turbidity values ranged from 3.60 NTU at Andrew's Pond North to 62.27 NTU at Lower Slick's Pond. See Figure 8.




Figure 8. Turbidity

# 5.2.2 Hardness, Alkalinity, Ammonia, and Nitrate

Hardness is caused by compounds of calcium and magnesium, and by a variety of other metals. Hardness is measured as milligrams per liter of Calcium Carbonate (mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>). The general guidelines for classification of water hardness by USGS are as follows:

0 to 60 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> is classified as soft 61 to 120 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> is moderately hard 121 to 180 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> is hard > 180 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> is very hard

Hardness measurements are represented in Figure 9. According to the results, most of the ponds, Governor's Pond, Lower Slick's Pond, MacNeil's Pond, Hermitage Pond, Jardine's Pond, Barbour's Pond, Andrew Pond North, and Andrew Pond South, contained very hard water. Farmer's Market Pond had moderate water. Ellen's Creek had hard water. Dead Man's Pond and the Ag. Canada Pond had soft water. See raw data in Table 2, Appendix C.




Figure 9. Total Hardness

Alkalinity values ranged from 14.8 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> in Dead Man's Pond to 200.5 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> in MacNeill's Pond. Shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10. Total Alkalinity





Figure 11 shows higher concentrations of Nitrate were found in Barbour's Pond, Jardine's Pond, Andrew's Pond South, Andrew's Pond North and Ellen's Creek.

Figure 11. Nitrate



Concentrations of Total Ammonia were highest in Farmer's Market Pond and MacNeill's Pond. See Figure 12.




Figure 12. Total Ammonia

Concentrations of Phosphate were highest in Dead Man's Pond, Governor's Pond, and Reardon's Pond. See Figure 13.




Figure 13. Phosphate

## 5.2.3 Analytical Results

One sample from each location was analyzed at PEI Analytical Laboratories. Table 1 in Appendix D summarizes the analytical data. Copies of the reports are in Appendix D. The PEI Analytical Laboratories reports results in ppb. One (1) ppb is almost equivalent to one (1)  $\mu$ g/L which is the measurement used by Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines (CEQG). CEQG guidelines are shown using their unit of measurement. Some elements were detected above the Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines (CEQG) for the Protection of Aquatic Life.

Concentrations of Copper were highest in Farmer's Market Pond (9 ppb) and Ag. Canada Pond (6 ppb). In the remainder of the ponds Copper concentrations were under the detection limit of 5 ppb. See Figure 14.




Figure 14. Concentration of Copper in surface water

Concentrations of Iron were detected above the CEQG (300  $\mu$ g/L) in Ag. Canada (1934 ppb), and Deadman's Pond (619 ppb). See Figure 15.




Figure 15. Concentration of Iron in surface water

Concentrations of Zinc were not detected above the CEQG (37  $\mu$ g/L) in any of the locations. Farmer's Market Pond (32 ppb), and Dead Man's Pond (16 ppb) were the only locations to have Zinc concentrations over the 6 ppb detection limit. See Figure 16.




Figure 16. Concentration of Zinc in surface water

# 5.3 SEDIMENT QUALITY

# 5.3.1 Analytical Results

One sediment sample was collected from each location and sent to the PEI Analytical Lab. Lab reports are included in Appendix D, as well as the summary of the sediment results (Table 2).

Concentrations of Copper were found below the Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines for aquatic life (ISQG) value. See Figure 17.




Figure 17. Concentration of Copper in sediments

Concentrations of Zinc were detected below the ISQG for aquatic life (123 ppm) in all locations. The highest concentration of Zinc was detected in MacNeil's Pond, Governor's Pond and Barbour's Pond. See Figure 18.

Zinc in sediments (ppm) 140 Concentration (ppm) 120 100 80 60 40 20 0 GOP DMP LSP ELC REP MNP HEP ACP -ISQG 123 ppm Zinc

Figure 18. Concentration of Zinc in sediments

Concentrations of Iron are shown in Figure 19 below. There is no ISQG for Iron in sediments.





Figure 19. Concentration of Iron in sediments

## 5.4 COMPARATIVE RESULTS

Fair 5.01-5.75

# 5.4.1 Macroinvertebrates



Good 4.26-5.00


Figure 20. Macroinvertebrates

# 5.4.2 Surface Water Physiochemical Parameters



Figure 21. pH Comparison






Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) 16.00 14.00 12.00 10.00 8.00 6.00 4.00 2.00 0.00 GOP 2017 GOP 2018 GOP 2019 DMP 2017 DMP 2018 DMP 2019 LSP 2017 LSP 2018 LSP 2019 MNP 2017 MNP 2018 MNP 2019 HEP 2017 HEP 2018 HEP 2019 FMP 2017 FMP 2018 FMP 2019 ACP 2017 ACP 2018 ACP 2019 JAP 2017 JAP 2018 JAP 2019 BAP 2017 BAP 2018 BAP 2019 APN 2017 APN 2018 APN 2019 APS 2017 APS 2018 APS 2019 CAP 2018 CAP 2019

Figure 23. Dissolved Oxygen

Figure 24. Conductivity



5.4.3 Surface Water Hardness, Ammonia, Nitrate and Phosphate

Figure 25. Water Hardness




Figure 26. Nitrate 2018 v. 2019




Figure 27. Ammonia 2018 v. 2019






Figure 28. Phosphate 2018 v. 2019



# 5.4.4 Surface Water Analytical Results

Copper (ppb) 100 90 Concentration (ppb) 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 GOP DMP LSP MNP HEP ACP BAP APN ■ Copper, dissolved 2018 Copper, dissolved 2019

Figure 29. Copper Concentrations in Surface Water 2018 v. 2019








Figure 31. Zinc Concentrations in Surface Water 2018 v. 2019



# 5.4.5 Sediment Quality Analytical Results

Figure 32. Copper Concentrations in Sediments 2018 v. 2019



Zinc in sediments (ppm)

200.00


150.00


50.00

GOP DMP LSP MNP HEP FMP ACP JAP BAP APN APS

Zinc 2018 Zinc 2019 ISQG

Figure 33. Zinc Concentrations in Sediments 2018 v. 2019





#### 6 DISCUSSION

Regarding the macroinvertebrates indicators, using the Family Biotic Index (FBI), the water quality of most of the ponds was considered "Fairly poor" or worse, which indicates that the ponds are under substantial pollution. It is important to

note that FBI is an indicator of pollution, primarily applied in streams, and the index can be affected by low natural biological potential such as poor habitat condition.

The pH values ranged from 5.58 at Dead Man's Pond to 8.22 at Andrew Pond South which indicates a slightly acidic and a slightly basic environment, respectively.

With regards to dissolved oxygen, Dead Man's Pond had very low DO readings. Low dissolved oxygen is primarily related to excessive algae growth. As the algae die and decompose, the process consumes dissolved oxygen. However, this does not seem to be the cause of the very low DO readings in Dead Man's Pond. This requires more exploration.

Copper was detected above the guideline values in Farmer's Market Pond and Ag. Canada Pond. However, the guideline value is 2 ppb, which is lower than what can be detected (5 ppb). In the rest of the locations copper concentrations did not meet the detection limit. Water hardness has a significant effect on Cu and Zn toxicity on fish. Copper and Zn are more toxic in the soft water than in the hard water. Only Dead Man's Pond, Ag. Canada Pond and Farmer's Market Pond contain what is classified as soft water.

Regarding Total Ammonia concentration, Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for Protection of Aquatic Life vary by temperature and pH. They decrease as temperature and pH rises. None of the levels reported exceed guidelines.

Concentrations of iron were detected above the CEQG in the Ag. Canada Pond and Dead Man's Pond. The presence of iron in fresh water can occur naturally.

#### 7 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the assessment, it can be concluded that:

- Based on the macroinvertebrate surveys, the water quality of most of the ponds was considered "Fairly poor" or worse, which indicates that the pounds are under substantial pollution.
- The water in most of the ponds was hard or very hard, except for the water in Dead Man's Pond, Ag. Canada Pond and Farmer's Market Pond which were soft.
- Based on the surface water analyses, copper and iron were detected above the guideline values.
- Based on the sediment analyses, zinc and copper have concentrations detected below the guideline values.

It is recommended that the monitoring program continue as more data is needed to assess factors impacting the ecological health of the ponds in the Charlottetown area.

Improvements in sampling techniques and observational recordings such as photographing the ponds and their surroundings for changes, will be beneficial to ensure better data quality.

#### REFERENCES

- Barbour, M.T., Gerritsen, J., Snyder, B.D., and Stribling, J.B. (1999). Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates, and Fish. Second Edition. EPA 841-B-99-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Water; Washington, D.C. xiv, 11 chapters, 4 appendices.
- Bode, R.W., Novak, M.A., and Abele, L.E. (1996). Quality Assurance Work Plan for Biological Stream Monitoring in New York State. NYS Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY. 89p.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, (2017). Water Quality and Sediment Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. [Cited 2017 Aug 30]. Retrieved from
  - http://st-ts.ccme.ca/en/index.html
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, (2012). Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life NITRATE ION. Retrieved from http://ceqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/197
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, (2010). Canadian Water

  Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life AMMONIA , (2010).

  Retrieved from
  - http://ceqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/141?redir=1553265384
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, (1999). Canadian Soil

  Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Environmental and Human

  Health. Chromium. Retrieved from

  http://ceqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/141?redir=1553265384
- Chu, H. F. (1949). How to Know the Immature Insects. 216 pp. Dubuque, Iowa.

- Clesceri, L. S., Greenberg, A. E., and Eaton, A. D. (1986). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater; American Public Health Association: Washington, DC, 1998.2-36pp.
- Hauer, F.R., Lamberti, G.A., (1996). Methods in Stream Ecology. Academic Press. ISBN: 0-12-332906-X. 696pp.
- Hilsenhoff, W. L., (1988). Rapid field assessment of organic pollution with a family-level biotic index. Journal of the North American Benthological Society, 7(1), 65-68.
- OSHA, U., & Occupational Safety and Health Administration, (1986). US

  Department of Labor. Occupational Safety and Health Administration,

  Office of Occupational Medicine, OSHA General Decontamination.

### **PICTURES**

Figure 1. View of Jardine's Pond May 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 2. View of Dead Man's Pond July 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 3. View of Governor's Pond August 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 4. View of Barbour's Pond August 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 5. View of Reardon's Pond May 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 6. View of Reardon's Pond September 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 7. Macroinvertebrate sampling at Reardon's Pond August 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 8. Teamwork makes the dream work!



Figure 9. Macroinvertebrate sampling at Andrew's Pond South August 2019 by Michelle Costello



Figure 10. Sediment Sampling Reardon's Pond September 2019



### FBI RAW DATA

**Table 1. Summary of Family Biotic Index Results** 

# Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)

inaex (nbi)				
POND	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index Value	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index Result	# Not Classified as Unimpaired	*Overall Score
CONTROL POND				
Reardon's Pond	5.73	Unimpaired	2	Unimpaired
WRIGHT'S CREEK WATERSHED				
Andrew's Pond South	6.95	Possibly Impaired	5	Potentially Impaired
Andrew's Pond North	5.55	Unimpaired	6	Potentially Impaired
Barbour's Pond	6.97	Possibly Impaired	7	Potentially Impaired
Jardines Pond	6.04	Possibly Impaired	4	Unimpaired
ELLEN'S CREEK WATERSHED				
Hermitage Pond	7.42	Impaired	8	Potentially Impaired
Ellen's Creek	5.35	Unimpaired	6	Potentially Impaired
OTHER PONDS				
Farmers Market Pond	6.01	Possibly Impaired	7	Potentially Impaired
Agriculture Canada Pond	6.44	Possibly Impaired	8	Potentially Impaired
Dead Man's Pond	4.94	Unimpaired	6	Potentially Impaired
Governor's Pond	7.85	Impaired	8	Potentially Impaired

<sup>\*</sup>Formula was used to determine degree of impairment

## Table 2. Raw Data Family Biotic Index (FBI)

Benthic Data	Analysis										
Stream:	Ag. Canada Pond										
Monitoring Date:	July 9, 2019										
										Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)			Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	30		1	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	22.73%	Possibly Impaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	0		2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	4.55%	Unimpaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0		3	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	3		4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	0.00%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0		5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	5	Impaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	0		6	% Dominant Taxon	45.45%	Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0			% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	3.03%	Impaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0		8	% Diptera (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	4.55%	Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	0		9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	7.58%	Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	0		10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	6.44	Possibly Impaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	0								
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	0			Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	0			# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		8			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0			Result		Potentially Impaired			
Oligochaeta	Aquatic Worm	8	15								
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	2								
Trombidiformes- Hydracarina	Water Mite	6	16								
	ıps except Unkno	wn)	66	L							
Number (Diptera			3 5	₩.							
Number (Insects) Number (Most Ab	undent Creum'		30	-							
NUMBER (INIUSEAL	unuani Group)		30	J							

Benthic Data	Analysis									
Stream:	Farmer's Market F	ond								
Monitoring Date:	July 16, 2019									
									Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)		Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	41		Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	0	1	2 % Midge (Chironomidae)	27.94%	Possibly Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0	;	3 % Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	19	4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	0.00%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0		Number of Taxonomic Groups	8	Impaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	1	•	% Dominant Taxon	60.29%	Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0	1	% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	2.94%	Impaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0	1	[   labanidae, lipulidae)	30.88%	Unimpaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	2	9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	38.24%	Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	0	1	0 Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	6.01	Possibly Impaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	0							
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	1		Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	0		# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		7			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0		Result		Potentially Impaired			
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	2							
Trombidiformes- Hydracarina	Water Mite	6	1							
Zygoptera	Damselfly	7	1							
Number (All Grou	ps except Unkno	wn)	68							
Number (Diptera)			21							
Number (Insects)			26							
Number (Most Ab	undant Group)		41							

Benthic Data	Analysis									
Stream:	Andrew's Pond No	orth								
Monitoring Date:	June 4, 2019									
									Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)		Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	0	1	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	18.18%	Possibly Impaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	1	2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	36.36%	Possibly Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0	3	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	4	4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	0.00%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0	5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	5	Impaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	0	6	% Dominant Taxon	36.36%	Unimpaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0		% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	27.27%	Unimpaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0	٥	% Diptera (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	45.45%	Possibly Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	1	9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	81.82%	Possibly Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	0	10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	5.55	Unimpaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	0							
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	0		Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	0		# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		6			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0		Result		Potentially Impaired			
Oligochaeta	Aquatic Worm	8	2							
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	3							
Number (All Grou	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	wn)	11							
Number (Diptera)			5							
Number (Insects)			9							
Number (Most Ab	undant Group)		4							

Benthic Data	Analysis										
Stream:	Andrew's Pond So	outh									
Monitoring Date:	August 13, 2019										
										Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)			Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	9		1	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	3.41%	Unimpaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	1		2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0		3	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	0		4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	58.52%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0		5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	11	Unimpaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	12		6	% Dominant Taxon	58.52%	Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0			<b>% EPT</b> (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	13.64%	Unimpaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0		8	<b>% Diptera</b> (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	0.57%	Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	1		9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	22.73%	Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	2		10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	6.95	Possibly Impaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	103								
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	2			Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	14			# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		5			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0			Result		Potentially Impaired			
Oligochaeta	Aquatic Worm	8	6								
Pelecypoda	Clam, Mussel	6	4								
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	22								
Number (All Grou		wn)	176								
Number (Diptera)			1	$\Box$							
Number (Insects)			40	$\sqcup$							
Number (Most Ab	ungant Group)		103								

Stream:       Barbour's Pot Monitoring Date:         Monitoring Date:       August 12, 2         Taxon       Common Name         Amphipoda       Scud         Anisoptera       Dragonfly         Ceratopogonidae       No-see-ums         Chironomidae       Midge         Coelenterata       Hydra         Coleoptera       Beetle         Cuclidae       Mosquito         Decapoda       Crayfish         Diptera, Misc.       Misc. True F         Ephemeroptera       Mayfly         Gastropoda       Snail         Hemiptera       True Bug         Hirudinea       Leech         Isopoda       Aquatic Sow         Oligochaeta       Aquatic Wor	Tole Va	erance /alue 6 5 NA 7 8 4 5 5 NA	Count (#)  0  0  270  0  5  0		1 2 3 4 5	Index % Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria) % Midge (Chironomidae) % Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda) % Snails (Gastropoda) Number of Taxonomic Groups % Dominant Taxon	Value 4.33% 61.50% 0.00% 23.23% 7 61.50%	Result Unimpaired Impaired Unimpaired Possibly Impaired Impaired	Impaired  > 30%  > 40%  > 5%   ≤ 11	Criteria Possibly Impaired 10% to 30% 10% to 40% 1% to 5% < 1% or > 10%	
Taxon Common Na Amphipoda Scud Anisoptera Dragonfly Ceratopogonidae No-see-ums Chironomidae Midge Coelenterata Hydra Coleoptera Beetle Cuclidae Mosquito Decapoda Crayfish Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow	Tole Va	7	(#) 0 0 0 270 0 5 0		1 2 3 4 5	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)  % Midge (Chironomidae)  % Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)  % Snails (Gastropoda)  Number of Taxonomic Groups	4.33% 61.50% 0.00% 23.23% 7	Unimpaired  Impaired  Unimpaired  Possibly Impaired  Impaired	> 30% > 40% > 5%	Possibly Impaired  10% to 30%  10% to 40%  1% to 5%  < 1% or > 10%	< 10% < 10% < 10% < 1% to 10%
Amphipoda Scud  Anisoptera Dragonfly  Ceratopogonidae No-see-ums  Chironomidae Midge  Coelenterata Hydra  Coleoptera Beetle  Cuclidae Mosquito  Decapoda Crayfish  Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F  Ephemeroptera Mayfly  Gastropoda Snail  Hemiptera True Bug  Hirudinea Leech  Isopoda Aquatic Sow	me Va	7	(#) 0 0 0 270 0 5 0		1 2 3 4 5	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)  % Midge (Chironomidae)  % Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)  % Snails (Gastropoda)  Number of Taxonomic Groups	4.33% 61.50% 0.00% 23.23% 7	Unimpaired  Impaired  Unimpaired  Possibly Impaired  Impaired	> 30% > 40% > 5%	Possibly Impaired  10% to 30%  10% to 40%  1% to 5%  < 1% or > 10%	< 10% < 10% < 10% < 1% to 10%
Amphipoda Scud Anisoptera Dragonfly Ceratopogonidae No-see-ums Chironomidae Midge Coelenterata Hydra Coleoptera Beetle Cuclidae Mosquito Decapoda Crayfish Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow	me Va	7	(#) 0 0 0 270 0 5 0		1 2 3 4 5	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)  % Midge (Chironomidae)  % Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)  % Snails (Gastropoda)  Number of Taxonomic Groups	4.33% 61.50% 0.00% 23.23% 7	Unimpaired  Impaired  Unimpaired  Possibly Impaired  Impaired	> 30% > 40% > 5%	Impaired  10% to 30%  10% to 40%  1% to 5%  < 1% or > 10%	< 10% < 10% < 10% < 1% to 10%
Anisoptera Dragonfly Ceratopogonidae No-see-ums Chironomidae Midge Coelenterata Hydra Coleoptera Beetle Cuclidae Mosquito Decapoda Crayfish Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow	1	5 NA 7 8 4 5 5 5	0 0 270 0 5 0		2 3 4 5	% Midge (Chironomidae)  % Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)  % Snails (Gastropoda)  Number of Taxonomic Groups	61.50% 0.00% 23.23% 7	Impaired Unimpaired Possibly Impaired Impaired	> 40% > 5%	10% to 40%  1% to 5%  < 1% or > 10%	< 10% < 1% 1% to 10%
Ceratopogonidae No-see-ums Chironomidae Midge Coelenterata Hydra Coleoptera Beetle Cuclidae Mosquito Decapoda Crayfish Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow	1	NA 7 8 4 5 5 5	0 270 0 5 0		3 4 5 6	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda) % Snails (Gastropoda) Number of Taxonomic Groups	0.00% 23.23% 7	Unimpaired Possibly Impaired Impaired	> 5%	1% to 5% < 1% or > 10%	< 1% 1% to 10%
Chironomidae Midge Coelenterata Hydra Coleoptera Beetle Cuclidae Mosquito Decapoda Crayfish Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow		7 8 4 5 5	270 0 5 0		5	% Snails (Gastropoda)  Number of Taxonomic Groups	23.23%	Possibly Impaired Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata Hydra  Coleoptera Beetle  Cuclidae Mosquito  Decapoda Crayfish  Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F  Ephemeroptera Mayfly  Gastropoda Snail  Hemiptera True Bug  Hirudinea Leech  Isopoda Aquatic Sow		8 4 5 5	0 5 0		5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	7	Impaired Impaired	 ≤ 11		
Coleoptera Beetle Cuclidae Mosquito Decapoda Crayfish Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow		4 5 5	5 0 0	-	6	·		· ·	≤ 11		
Cuclidae Mosquito Decapoda Crayfish Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow		5	0			% Dominant Taxon	61.50%	Impaired			> 11
Decapoda Crayfish  Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F  Ephemeroptera Mayfly  Gastropoda Snail  Hemiptera True Bug  Hirudinea Leech  Isopoda Aquatic Sow		5	0		7			Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Diptera, Misc. Misc. True F Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow	_				-	% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	8.43%	Possibly Impaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Ephemeroptera Mayfly Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow	es l	NA			°	<b>% Diptera</b> (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	61.50%	Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Gastropoda Snail Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow			0			% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	71.07%	Unimpaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Hemiptera True Bug Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow		5	0		10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	6.97	Possibly Impaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Hirudinea Leech Isopoda Aquatic Sow		8	102								
Isopoda Aquatic Sow		5	0			Overall Result					
		8	0			# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		7			
Oligochaeta Aquatic Wor	ug	8	0			Result		Potentially Impaired			
	1	8	19								
Pelecypoda Clam, Musse		6	3								
Tricoptera Caddisfly		4	37								
Trombidiformes- Hydracarina Water Mite		6	3								
Number (All Groups except U	-		439								
Number (Diptera)	known)		270								
Number (Insects) Number (Most Abundant Grou	known)		312 270								

Benthic Data	Analysis										
Stream:	Jardines Pond										
Monitoring Date:	August 14, 2019			_						Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)			Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	3		1	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	9.69%	Unimpaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	2		2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	44.90%	Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0		3	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	88		4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	4.59%	Unimpaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0		5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	14	Unimpaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	9		6	% Dominant Taxon	44.90%	Possibly Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0		7	% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	15.31%	Unimpaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0		8	% Diptera (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	49.49%	Possibly Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	9		9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	71.94%	Unimpaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	3		10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	6.04	Possibly Impaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	9								
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	0			Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	21			# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		4			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0			Result		Unimpaired			
Oligochaeta	Aquatic Worm	8	19								
Pelecypoda	Clam, Mussel	6	2								
Plecoptera	Stonefly	1	17								
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	10								
Trombidiformes- Hydracarina	Water Mite	6	1								
Zygoptera	Damselfly	7	3								
Number (All Grou	ıps except Unkno	own)	196								
Number (Diptera)			97								
Number (Insects)			141								
Number (Most Ab	undant Group)		88								

Benthic Data	Analysis									
Stream:	Hermitage Pond									
Monitoring Date:	August 7, 2019									
									Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)		Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	6	1	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	14.14%	Possibly Impaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	0	2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	23.14%	Possibly Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0	3	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	90	4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	43.19%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0	5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	8	Impaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	1	6	% Dominant Taxon	43.19%	Possibly Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0	7	% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	6.17%	Possibly Impaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0	8	<b>% Diptera</b> (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	23.14%	Unimpaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	0	9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	29.56%	Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	0	10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	7.42	Impaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	168							
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	0		Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	33		# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		8			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0		Result		Potentially Impaired			
Oligochaeta	Aquatic Worm	8	55							
Pelecypoda	Clam, Mussel	6	12							
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	24							
Number (All Grou	•	wn)	389							
Number (Diptera)			90							
Number (Insects)			115							
Number (Most Ab	undant Group)		168							

Benthic Data	Analysis								
Stream:	Dead Man's Pond	ĺ							
Monitoring Date:	August 20, 2019								
								Criteria	•
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)	Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	0	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	0	% Midge (Chironomidae)	27.78%	Possibly Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	5	% Snails (Gastropoda)	0.00%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0	Number of Taxonomic Groups	3	Impaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	11	% Dominant Taxon	61.11%	Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5		% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	0.00%	Impaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0	% Diptera (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	27.78%	Unimpaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	0	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	100.00%	Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	0	0 Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	4.94	Unimpaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	0						
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	2	Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	0	# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		6			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0	Result		Potentially Impaired			
Number (All Grou	ips except Unkno	own)	18						
Number (Diptera)			5						
Number (Insects)			18						
Number (Most Ab	undant Group)		11						

Benthic Data	Analysis									
Stream:	Governor's Pond									
Monitoring Date:	August 21, 2019									
									Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)		Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	0		% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	0	2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	14.81%	Possibly Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0	;	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	4		% Snails (Gastropoda)	85.19%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0		Number of Taxonomic Groups	2	Impaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	0		% Dominant Taxon	85.19%	Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5			% <b>EPT</b> (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	0.00%	Impaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0	1	% Diptera (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	14.81%	Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	0	,	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	14.81%	Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	0	1	0 Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	7.85	Impaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	23							
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	0		Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	0		# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		8			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0		Result		Potentially Impaired			
Number (All Grou	<u> </u>	wn)	27							
Number (Diptera			4							
, ,										
Number (Insects) Number (Most Ab			23							

Benthic Data	Analysis										
Stream:	Reardon's Pond										
Monitoring Date:	August 19, 2019		1								
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance	Count			Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Criteria Possibly	Unimpaired
		Value	(#)	-					· ·	Impaired	
Amphipoda	Scud	6	38		1	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	0		2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	18.39%	Possibly Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0		3	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	48		4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	3.83%	Unimpaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0		5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	13	Unimpaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	7		6	% Dominant Taxon	29.50%	Unimpaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0		7	% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	31.80%	Unimpaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0		8	% Diptera (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	18.77%	Possibly Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	1		9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	54.02%	Unimpaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	4		10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	5.73	Unimpaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	10								
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	0			Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	25			# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		2			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0			Result		Unimpaired			
Megaloptera	Helgrammite, Fishfly, Alderfly	4	1								
Pelecypoda	Clam, Mussel	6	45								
Plecoptera	Stonefly	1	2								
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	77								
Trombidiformes- Hydracarina	Water Mite	6	2								
Zygoptera	Damselfly	7	1								
Number (All Grou	ips except Unkno	wn)	261	ı							
Number (Diptera)			49								
Number (Insects)			141								
Number (Most Ab	undant Group)		77								

Benthic Data	Analysis										
Stream:	Ellen's Creek										
Monitoring Date:	August 6, 2019										
										Criteria	
Taxon	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Count (#)			Index	Value	Result	Impaired	Possibly Impaired	Unimpaired
Amphipoda	Scud	6	0		1	% Worm (Oligochatea, Nematoda and Tubellaria)	3.04%	Unimpaired	> 30%	10% to 30%	< 10%
Anisoptera	Dragonfly	5	1		2	% Midge (Chironomidae)	53.28%	Impaired	> 40%	10% to 40%	< 10%
Ceratopogonidae	No-see-ums	NA	0		3	% Aquatic Sowbug (Isopoda)	0.00%	Unimpaired	> 5%	1% to 5%	< 1%
Chironomidae	Midge	7	438		4	% Snails (Gastropoda)	0.36%	Possibly Impaired		< 1% or > 10%	1% to 10%
Coelenterata	Hydra	8	0		5	Number of Taxonomic Groups	10	Impaired	≤ 11		> 11
Coleoptera	Beetle	4	2		6	% Dominant Taxon	53.28%	Impaired	> 45%	40% to 45%	< 40
Cuclidae	Mosquito	5	0		7	% EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)	27.13%	Unimpaired	< 5%	5% to 10%	> 10%
Decapoda	Crayfish	5	0		8	<b>% Diptera</b> (Diptera, Chironomidae, Culicidae, Simuliidae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae)	68.98%	Impaired	< 15% or > 50%	15% to 20%, or 45% to	20% to 45%
Diptera, Misc.	Misc. True Flies	NA	129		9	% Insects (All Diptera, Anisoptera, Coleoptera, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, Megaloptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Zygoptera)	96.47%	Impaired	< 40% or > 90%	40% to 50%, or 80% to	50% to 80%
Ephemeroptera	Mayfly	5	197		10	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	5.35	Unimpaired	> 7	6 to 7	< 6
Gastropoda	Snail	8	3								
Hemiptera	True Bug	5	0			Overall Result					
Hirudinea	Leech	8	0			# Not Classified as "Unimpaired"		6			
Isopoda	Aquatic Sowbug	8	0			Result		Potentially Impaired			
Nematoda	Roundworm	8	2								
Oligochaeta	Aquatic Worm	8	23								
Tricoptera	Caddisfly	4	26								
Trombidiformes- Hydracarina	Water Mite	6	1								
	ips except Unkno	wn)	822								
Number (Diptera			567	L							
Number (Insects)			793	-							
Number (Most Ab	undant Group)		438								

### APPENDIX C

## Raw Data Field Measurements by Pond

Table 1. Lower Slick's Pond Data

Lower Slick's Pond						
Date	May 23	June 7	June 17	July 2	July 15	August 9
Time	10:53	11:48	14:05	14:00	12:15	11:10
Air Temperature (°C)	9.0	15.0	21.0	16.0	18.3	22.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.0	101.3	101.2	100.5	100.7	100.3
	Sunny/ partly		Sunny			
Weather	cloudy	Sunny	w/cloud	Cloudy	Cloudy	Sunny
Humidity (%)	70	59	43	92	87	82
Location	46.27075°N	46.27076°N	46.27075°N	46.27077°N	46.27083°N	46.27079°N
	063.15020°W	063.15017°W	063.15019°W	063.150160°W	063.15021°W	063.15018°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	-4	10	9	2	7	8
рН	6.99	7.31	7.77	7.10	7.86	8.36
Temp (°C)	9.7	18.7	25.0	17.8	20.4	25.5
(mV)	-7.9	-38.9	-70.6	-42	-92.9	-
DO (mg/L)	7.94	9.85	13.84	9.59	17.02	13.06
Temp (°C)	10.8	18.7	25.8	17.9	20.6	26.7
(%)	71.2	-	170.2	102.0	190.6	164.8
Pressure (hPa)	1020	-	1013	1005	1006	1002
Conductivity (µS/cm)	716	875	1148	875	1211	1163
Temp (°C)	9.5	18.0	24.8	17.3	20.6	25.1
Salinity (‰)	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.6
TDS (mg/L)	357	437	574	437	605	582
Turbidity (NTU)	95.20	62.80	28.80	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	-	-	204	-	295.5
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	139	-	138.5	-	176.5
						-
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	0.071	-	0.116	-	0.109
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	0.961	-	0.245	-	0.584
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	2.74	-	-0.425	-	0.200

**Table 2. Hermitage Pond Data** 

Hermitage Pond						
Date	May 23	June 7	June 18	July 2	July 16	August 7
Time	12:08	11:19	13:30	13:43	11:30	10:20
Air Temperature (°C)	10.0	15.0	21.0	14.0	22.0	20.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.0	101.3	101.2	100.5	101.3	101.3
Weather	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Cloudy	Sunny	Sunny w/cloud
Humidity (%)	56	59	43	97	73	73
Location	46.25794°N	46.25794°N	46.25795°N	46.25793°N	46.25795°N	46.25797°N
	063.14812°W	063.14814°W	063.14812°W	063.14811°W	063.14812°W	063.14811°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	1	4	0	7	0	-3
рН	7.51	7.19	7.57	7.41	7.59	8.60
Temp (°C)	11.0	13.5	16.7	15.5	16.8	17.8
(mV)	-34.3	-32.3	-58.6	-59.2	-78.1	-138.8
DO (mg/L)	12.74	8.65	13.80	10.64	13.20	17.98
Temp (°C)	12.0	15.5	16.9	15.4	19.1	17.5
(%)	117.6	86.7	142.5	107.1	142.3	188.0
Pressure (hPa)	1019	1013	1014	1006	1015	1013.0
Conductivity (µS/cm)	696	735	948	655	898	898
Temp (°C)	10.8	13.5	16.6	15.3	16.6	16.9
Salinity (‰)	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4
TDS (mg/L)	350	369	475	327	452	448
Turbidity (NTU)	7.49	15.20	4.49	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	-	-	195.4	-	277
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	125	-	-	-	157.5
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	0.149	-	0.087	-	0.053
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	1.27	-	1.20	-	0.259
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	-0.464	-	0.193	-	0.774

Table 3. MacNeill's Pond Data

MacNeill's Pond						
Date	May 23	June 10	June 18	July 2	July 16	August 9
Time	11:21	11:48	13:44	13:25	11:46	11:26
Air Temperature (°C)	9.0	16.0	21.0	14.0	23.0	22.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.0	102.5	101.2	100.5	101.4	100.3
Weather	Sunny/ partly cloudy	Sunny	Sunny w/cloud	Cloudy	Sunny	Sunny
Humidity (%)	70	39	43	97	69	82
Location	46.26561°N	46.26556°N	46.26562°N	46.26556°N	46.26554°N	46.26559°N
	063.15736°W	063.15735°W	063.15735°W		063.15735°W	
Level Above Sea Level (m)	3	9	0	7	6	7
рН	7.42	7.52	7.49	7.43	7.50	7.35
Temp (°C)	10.8	17.9	19.8	14.2	18.6	21.9
(mV)	-30.1	-49.7	-54.2	-60.2	-73.6	-71.5
DO (mg/L)	11.53	14.87	13.10	14.00	15.92	6.19
Temp (°C)	12.7	17.3	21.6	14.7	18.6	22.0
(%)	108.0	153.0	148.8	139.2	169	71.5
Pressure (hPa)	1021	1025	1013	1005	1015	1003
Conductivity (µS/cm)	1136	1299	1298	1201	1303	1181
Temp (°C)	10.6	16.0	19.4	13.7	17.5	21.4
Salinity (‰)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
TDS (mg/L)	568	651	651	600	653	550
Turbidity (NTU)	34.9	7.89	16.8	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	321.5	-	303.1	-	314.3
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	202.5	-	-	-	198.5
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	0.25	-	-	-	0.187
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	1.50	-	1.37	-	1.12
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	-0.86	-	-0.68	-	-

Table 4. Governor's Pond Data

Governor's Pond							
Date	May 23	June 4	June 18	July 3	July 16	August 5	August 21
Time	9:43	14:44	10:33	11:30	11:11	12:13	9:46
Air Temperature (°C)	9.0	16.0	18.0	13.0	22.0	20.0	22.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.1	101.0	101.3	101.0	101.3	100.8	101.6
	Sunny/Partly						
Weather	Cloudy	Sunny	Sunny	Partly cloudy	Sunny	Sunny w/cloud	Sunny
Humidity (%)	72	44	68	82	73	64	63
Location	46.23177°N	46.23170°N	46.2317°N	46.23170°N	46.23174°N	46.23175°N	46.23170°N
	063.13462°W	063.13470°W	063.1347°W	063.13479°W	063.13470°W	063.13470°W	063.13467°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	9	4	-	1	1	2	-
рН	7.80	7.19	7.15	6.92	6.99	6.92	7.17
Temp (°C)	10.0	26.4	22.7	18.9	26.7	22.0	21.1
(mV)	-48.9	-32.8	-35.9	-32.8	-45.8	-47.9	-61.7
DO (mg/L)	10.65	10.20	1.88	4.69	5.93	0.92	1.39
Temp (°C)	10.7	26.1	22.5	18.9	27.0	24.1	22.4
(%)	-	126.2	21.6	50.8	74.3	10.9	15.9
Pressure (hPa)	-	1012	1014	1011	1012	1011	1016
Conductivity (µS/cm)	2.62	3.24	4.03	-	2.63	3.48	3.84
Temp (°C)	-	26.5	21.6	18.9	20.3	23.0	20.6
Salinity (‰)	1.3	1.7	2.1	1.0	1.4	1.8	2.0
TDS (mg/L)	1306	1618	2020	947	1314	1740	1921
Turbidity (NTU)	7.85	21.60	55.80	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	245.4	-	177.4	-	-	_
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	_	138.7	-	111.5	-	_	_
randinity (mg/201 cae03)		130.7		111.5			
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	0.012	-	0.035	-	-	0.036
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	0.384	-	0.348	-	-	0.258
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	2.02	-	2.48	-	-	0.323

Table 5. Dead Man's Pond Data

Dead Man's Pond							
Date	May 23	June 4	June 18	July 3	July 16	August 5	August 20
Time	9:15	14:00	13:00	11:11	10:44	11:33	10:00
Air Temperature (°C)	9.0	16.0	21.0	13.0	22.0	20.0	-
Pressure (kPa)	102.1	101.0	101.3	101.0	101.3	100.8	-
Weather	Sunny/ partly cloudy	Sunny	Sunny	Partly cloudy	Sunny	Sunny w/cloud	-
Humidity (%)	72	44	40	83	73	64	-
Location	46.22953°N	46.22935°N	46.22950°N	46.22955°N	46.22962°N	46.22960°N	46.24805°N
	063.14004°W	063.13984°W	063.14006°W	063.14003°W	063.14017°W	063.13997°W	063.15191°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	-12	30	5	12	11	17	1
рН	5.96	6.09	5.63	5.29	5.28	5.26	5.57
Temp (°C)	-	21.3	25.4	17.2	22.1	21.9	22.5
(mV)	-	27.3	47.9	54.5	47.7	42.4	26.9
DO (mg/L)	4.13	5.59	4.85	3.61	2.05	1.86	2.08
Temp (°C)	10.9	22.7	25.2	17.3	23.2	22.0	22.6
(%)	-	65.1	59.0	37.7	23.9	21.4	24.11
Pressure (hPa)	-	1010	1013	1011	1015	1009	1013
Conductivity (µS/cm)	32.3	38.4	31.3	20.9	19.34	23.7	26.3
Temp (°C)	-	-	24.9	16.9	22.2	21.7	22
Salinity (‰)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TDS (mg/L)	16.1	19.2	16.0	10.5	9.7	11.8	13.2
Turbidity (NTU)	5.93	6.30	7.13	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	11.2	-	10.8	-	-	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	18.0	-	11.5	-	-	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	_	0.075	_	0.028	_	_	0.025
Nitrate (mg/L)	_	0.281	-	0.468	_	_	0.571
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	1.73	-	3.23	-	-	0.445

Table 6. Agriculture Canada Pond Data

Agriculture Canada Pond							
Date	May 23	June 7	June 18	July 2	July 9	July 16	August 28
Time	10:10	10:38	10:53	12:25	10:43	13:10	10:59
Air Temperature (°C)	9.0	14.0	18.0	14.0	-	24.0	21.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.1	101.3	101.3	100.5	-	101.4	101.4
	Sunny/						
Weather	partly cloudy	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Humidity (%)	72	67	68	100		61	73
Location	46.24878°N	46.24912°N	46.2491°N	46.24876°N	46.24863°N	46.24877°N	46.24874°N
	063.13424°W	063.13427°W	063.1343°W	063.13419°W	063.13352°W	063.13422°W	063.13416°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	6	9	7	17	6	15	16
pН	6.60	6.63	6.64	6.47	6.40	6.40	6.82
Temp (°C)	9.0	18.9	23.8	16.4	22.3	26.7	19.9
(mV)	-11.3	-2.2	-8.3	-9.1	-4.7	-13.3	-42.1
DO (mg/L)	10.80	7.89	8.11	5.02	2.69	4.16	4.9
Temp (°C)	9.8	19.8	24.4	17.7	24.8	26.3	21.2
(%)	94.7	86.6	97.1	53.2	32	51.5	55.2
Pressure (hPa)	1019	1012	1012	1004	1009	1013	1013
Conductivity (µS/cm)	407	554	556	370	438	490	633
Temp (°C)	8.9	18.2	23.5	17	23.3	25	19.4
Salinity (‰)	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
TDS (mg/L)	204	227	279	185.3	219	245	316
Turbidity (NTU)	-	8.88	11.5	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	-	-	32.8	-	-	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	41.5	-	46.5	-	-	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	_	-0.225	_	0.03	-	_	_
Nitrate (mg/L)	_	0.153	-	0.3	-	_	-
Phosphate (mg/L)	_	0.133	_	1.45	_	_	_

Table 7. Farmer's Market Pond Data

Farmer's Market Pond							
Date	May 23	June 10	June 18	July 2	July 16	August 9	August 19
Time	10:30	11:00	11:07	12:45	13:20	10:36	11:45
Air Temperature (°C)	9.0	17.0	20.0	14.0	24.0	22.0	21.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.1	103.5	101.3	100.5	101.4	100.3	101.4
	Sunny/						
	partly						
Weather	cloudy	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Humidity (%)	72	48	46	94	61	83	-
Location	46.25191°N	46.25194°N	46.2319°N	46.25196°N	46.25196°N	46.25196°N	46.25195°N
	63.1343°W	063.1343°W	063.1343°W	063.13427°W	063.13428°W	063.13428°W	063.13426°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	12	13	13	10	23	18	22
рН	6.83	6.61	6.58	6.58	6.45	6.49	6.45
Temp (°C)	9.4	19.3	24.8	17.0	26.9	-	19.8
(mV)	-0.3	-4.2	-4.5	-14.5	-15.6	-	-22
DO (mg/L)	9.20	7.67	7.40	6.24	5.06	2.46	3.25
Temp (°C)	10.6	21.4	23.4	16.6	28.4	-	19.3
(%)	82.2	85.5	87	64.7	65.1	-	35.3
Pressure (hPa)	1019	1026	1012	1004	1014	-	1013
Conductivity (µS/cm)	451	601	555	300	731	778	231
Temp (°C)	9.6	19.1	22.8	16.5	26.5	21.9	18.8
Salinity (‰)	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1
TDS (mg/L)	226	300	276	150.2	365	389	115.5
Turbidity (NTU)	22.60	8.71	9.49	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	53.2	-	38.8	92.1	-	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	54	-	46.5	-	67.5	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	0.07	-	0.05	-	0.70	-
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	0.21	-	0.16	-	0.65	-
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	0.82	-	0.26	-	0.81	-

Table 8. Jardines Pond Data

Jardines Pond							
Date	May 23	June 4	June 17	July 3	July 16	August 14	August 28
Time	13:00	10:00	13:25	13:40	14:03	10:15	12:00
Air Temperature (°C)	10.0	12.0	20.0	14.0	26.0	18.0	23.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.0	101.1	100.9	101.1	101.3	101.2	101.4
Weather	Cloudy	Party cloudy	Cloudy/light shower	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Humidity (%)	56	72	83	82	51	73	62
Location	46.28038°N	46.28046°N	46.28048°N	46.28054°N	46.28049 °N	46.28050°N	46.28048°N
	063.11515°W	063.11523°W	063.11516°W	063.11521°W	063.11512°W	063.11512°W	063.11507°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	32	22	44	30	47	19	29
pH	7.34	7.41	7.48	7.31	7.90	7.39	7.22
Temp (°C)	10.9	12.1	20.8	15.5	23.0	15.3	18.7
(mV)	-25.8	-43.8	-53.7	-53.9	-95.7	-70.6	-64.3
DO (mg/L)	9.93	10.51	8.09	10.10	11.86	7.18	6.58
Temp (°C)	11.7	13.4	21.4	16.6	24.0	16.0	19.8
(%)	91.0	101.0	91.9	104.1	141.0	73.0	72.3
Pressure (hPa)	1018	1009	1008	1009	1012	1010	1012
Conductivity (µS/cm)	274	472	524	476	573	612	267
Temp (°C)	11.2	11.8	20.7	15.3	22.7	15.2	18.3
Salinity (‰)	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1
TDS (mg/L)	137.2	236	262	238	287	306	133.6
Turbidity (NTU)	27.5	11.0	20.8	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	181	-	183	-	-	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	164.7	-	146.5	-	-	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	0.08	-	0.06	-	0.0	-
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	4.32	-	3.98	-	4.7	-
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	-0.1	-	-0.82	-	0.1	-

Table 9. Barbour's Pond Data

Barbour's Pond							
Date	May 17	June 4	June 17	July 3	July 16	August 12	August 28
Time	13:24	10:31	13:45	14:00	14:30	11:19	12:25
Air Temperature (°C)	8.0	12.0	20.0	14.0	26.0	21.0	25.0
Pressure (kPa)	101.3	101.1 kPa	100.9	101.0	101.3	100.7	101.4
Weather	Sunny	Sunny	Partly cloudy	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Humidity (%)	71	68%	83	82	51	64	60
Location	46.27607°N	46.27613°N	46.27615°N	46.27612°N	46.27611°N	46.27611°N	46.27604°N
	063.11129°W	063.11135°W	063.11130°W	063.11130°W	063.11133°W	063.11133°W	063.11127°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	10	10	7	1	7	16	7
рН	8.14	7.66	7.58	7.58	7.75	7.72	7.93
Temp (°C)	10.8	11.8	14.5	16.8	16.3	14.9	14
(mV)	-66.1	-56.4	-58.5	-68.6	-86.6	-87.4	-102.2
DO (mg/L)	13.98	10.55	9.35	9.74	10.46	9.13	10.19
Temp (°C)	11.3	12.0	18.9	17.7	18.5	16.7	15.9
(%)	127	98.0	98.0	102.4	111	94.4	103.1
Pressure (hPa)	1012	1011	1009	1011	1014	1007	1013
Conductivity (µS/cm)	573	586	614	586	629	626	574
Temp (°C)	11	11.9	14.1	17.0	15.9	14.7	14.2
Salinity (‰)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
TDS (mg/L)	287	293	307	293	315	313	287
Turbidity (NTU)	7.00	2.13	4.64	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	221.4	-	223.4	-	248.6	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	166.0	-	173.5	-	195.0	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	-0.196	_	-0.22	-	0.03	-
Nitrate (mg/L)	_	4.14	_	3.95	_	4.38	_
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	-0.772	-	-0.774	-	0.261	-

Table 10. Andrew's Pond North Data

Andrew's Pond North						
Date	May 17	June 4	June 17	July 3	July 16	August 28
Time	13:45	10:50	14:00	14:20	14:22	12:39
Air Temperature (°C)	8.0	13.0	20.0	14.0	26.0	25.0
Pressure (kPa)	101.3	101.1	100.9	101.0	101.3	101.4
Weather	Overcast	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny	Sunny
Humidity (%)	71	68	83	82	51	60
Location	46.27445°N	46.27434°N	46.27434°N	46.27431°N	46.27449°N	46.27451°N
	063.11082°W	063.11087°W	063.11082°W	063.11077°W	063.11092°W	063.11074°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	4	1	9	13	9	12
рН	7.60	7.46	8.44	7.79	8.88	8.76
Temp (°C)	8.8	13.3	21.8	19.1	25.4	20.9
(mV)	-38.6	-46.1	-	-80.3	-150.1	-149.0
DO (mg/L)	14.11	10.37	13.64	12.37	11.72	14.03
Temp (°C)	9.2	15.7	21.8	20.5	26.1	21.0
(%)	122.7	104.5	156	137.2	144.7	157.3
Pressure (hPa)	1012	1011	1009	1011	1013	1014.0
Conductivity (µS/cm)	568	565	603	553	536	530
Temp (°C)	8.8	14.6	21.3	18.9	25.2	20.3
Salinity (‰)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
TDS (mg/L)	284	282	301	277	268	265
Turbidity (NTU)	3.00	4.27	3.53	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	208.2	-	199.4	-	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	167.9	-	-	-	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	-0.15	-	0.054	-	-
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	4.11	-	2.54	-	-
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	-1.11	-	-0.996	-	-

Table 11. Andrew's Pond South Data

Andrew's Pond South							
Date	May 17	June 4	June 17	July 3	July 16	August 13	August 28
Time	12:56	11:37	13:03	13:20	13:45	10:40	11:40
Air Temperature (°C)	8.0	15.0	22.0	13.0	25.0	19.0	23.0
Pressure (kPa)	101.3	101.0	100.9	101.0	101.3	100.5	101.4
						sunny w/	
Weather	overcast	sunny	partly cloudy	partly cloudy	sunny	cloud	sunny
Humidity (%)	71	56	63	82	54	83	62
Location	46.27201°N	46.27197°N	46.27202°N	46.27200°N	46.27204°N	46.27204°N	46.27204°N
	063.10582°W	063.10579°W	063.10590°W	063.10578°W	063.10585°W	063.10586°W	063.10595°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	9	7	5	15	20	9	15
pH	8.23	8.17	8.55	8.30	8.45	7.92	7.93
Temp (°C)	10.2	15.1	20.5	16.6	21.7	19.2	17.0
(mV)	-70.6	-83.8	-102.1	-107.0	-125.4	-98.6	-102.8
DO (mg/L)	15.13	13.37	19.37	15.14	14.46	11.86	10.42
Temp (°C)	10.6	16.5	20.3	16.7	22.2	18.9	17.6
Relative Humidity (%)	136.2	137.5	215.3	156.1	165.7	128.7	109.1
Pressure (hPa)	1012	1010	1009	1011	1014	1005	1014
Conductivity (µS/cm)	775	713	676	710	729	755	737
Temp (°C)	10.6	16.0	18.2	16.3	21.2	19.0	17.6
Salinity (‰)	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
TDS (mg/L)	388	357	347	355	365	337	364
Turbidity (NTU)	3.00	7.32	4.31	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	229.4	-	216.2	-	-	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	159	-	161.5	-	-	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	-0.043	-	0.018	-	0.014	-
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	3.2	-	2.55	-	2.31	-
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	-0.843	-	-0.769	-	0.095	-

Table 12. Reardon's Pond Data

Reardon's Pond						
Date	June 7	June 17	July 3	July 16	August 5	August19
Time	10:00	11:01	10:30	10:05	10:30	11:16
Air Temperature (°C)	12.0	19.0	13.0	20.0	19.0	22.0
Pressure (kPa)	101.3	101.0	101.0	101.3	100.8	101.1
					Sunny	Sunny
Weather	Sunny	Sunny	Partly cloudy	Sunny	w/cloud	w/cloud
Humidity (%)	86	79	82	83	68	83
Location	46.26308°N	46.26299°N	46.26302°N	46.26296°N	46.26313 °N	46.26313 °N
	062.91751°W	062.91744°W	062.91745°W	062.91742°W	062.91748°W	062.91748°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	30	31	31	26	37	31
рН	7.14	7.23	6.86	7.00	8.34	7.30
Temp (°C)	16.6	22.0	17.2	21.9	24.6	22.9
(mV)	-29.5	-40.2	-30.0	-46.6	-137.4	-70.1
DO (mg/L)	8.81	7.41	7.39	8.79	10.30	7.30
Temp (°C)	17.4	22.9	17.9	21.8	25.5	23.3
(%)	92.0	86.7	78.4	100.4	126.6	85.6
Pressure (hPa)	1013	1008	1007	1011	1006	1013
Conductivity (µS/cm)	134.6	132.9	119.7	162.4	138.3	151.5
Temp (°C)	15.8	21.8	17.1	21.7	24.4	23
Salinity (‰)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
TDS (mg/L)	67.1	66.5	59.8	88.1	69.1	73.8
Turbidity (NTU)	9.60	-	-	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	-	48	-	-	-
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	58.5	-	51.5	-	-	-
Ammonia (mg/L)	-0.144	-	0.079	-	-	0.027
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.61	-	0.691	-	-	0.192
Phosphate (mg/L)	0.641	-	2.54	-	-	0.352

Table 13. Ellen's Creek Data

Ellen's Creek						
Date	May 23	June 7	June 17	July 2	July 16	August 6
Time	11:43	12:15	14:29	13:06	12:00	8:56
Air Temperature (°C)	9.0	15.0	20.0	14.0	23.0	18.0
Pressure (kPa)	102.0	101.3	100.9	100.5	101.4	101.4
Weather	Sunny	Sunny	Partly cloudy	Cloudy	Sunny	Sunny
Humidity (%)	61	59	78	94	69	77
Location	46.27821°N	46.27823°N	46.27829°N	46.27829°N	46.27828°N	46.27827°N
	063.16267°W	063.16278°W	063.16275°W	063.1627°W	063.16278°W	063.16272°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	10	15	8	11	13	11
рН	7.56	7.58	7.45	7.42	7.41	7.43
Temp (°C)	9.7	15.0	10.9	11.9	13.7	11.7
(mV)	-36.8	-52.7	-51.7	-59.4	-68.3	-74.9
DO (mg/L)	11.70	11.78	10.81	11.40	10.65	10.49
Temp (°C)	11.0	14.7	12.6	13.5	17.0	11.9
(%)	105.4	116.0	102.1	110.2	110.2	97.3
Pressure (hPa)	1020	1014	1009	1005	1014	1013
Conductivity (µS/cm)	390	437	452	444	457	457
Temp (°C)	9.7	12.5	12.1	11.6	14.8	10.6
Salinity (‰)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
TDS (mg/L)	194.3	218	226	222	228	228
Turbidity (NTU)	3.94	11.4	8.37	-	-	-
Hardness (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	-	-	150.1	-	167.3
Alkalinity (mg/L of CaCO₃)	-	117.5	-	123.5	-	130
Ammonia (mg/L)	-	-0.231	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (mg/L)	-	2.50	-	2.55	-	-
Phosphate (mg/L)	-	-1.06	-	-0.571	-	-

Table 14. Capper's Pond Data

Capper's Pond	
Date	May 23
Time	14:20
Air Temperature (°C)	11
Pressure (kPa)	101.9
Weather	Sunny
Humidity (%)	50
Location	46.21397°N
	063.30682°W
Level Above Sea Level (m)	35
рН	7.75
Temp (°C)	10.3
(mV)	-46.5
DO (mg/L)	12.30
Temp (°C)	10.8
(%)	110.7
Pressure (hPa)	1018
Conductivity (µS/cm)	333
Temp (°C)	10.3
Turbidity (NTU)	9.90
TDS (mg/L)	167.8
Salinity (‰)	0.2

## APPENDIX D

## Laboratory Reports

Client: 6498
Accession: 5063
Samples Reported: 9/24/2019
Samples Received: 9/13/2019

PEI Analytical Laboratories	21 Department of Agriculture and I 23 Innovation Way	O Box 2000, Charlottetown, PEI, C
-----------------------------	---	-----------------------------------

:IA7N8 Land

Fax: (902) 368-6299 Telephone: (902) 620-3300

	Lab #: 5063-1	Lab #: 5063-2	Lab #: 5063-3	Lab #: 5063-4
Analysis Performed	Sample Pond Sediment Type:	Sample Pond Sediment Type:	Sample Pond Sediment Type:	Sample Pond Sediment Type:
	Sample CLFE190901 Id:	Sample Id: LFE190901	Sample AGC190709 Id:	Sample APN190828 Id:
Dry Matter %	97.77	98.80	98.37	99.52
Carbon %	10.77	1.74	1.75	1.97
C:N Ratio	15.31	16.00	16.18	18.00
Nitrogen %	.70	11.	.11	.11
Phosphorus %	.12	₹.03	₹ .03	₹.03
Potassium %	.29	.15	.20	.10
Calcium %	.28	60.	.04	90.
Magnesium %	.51	.34	.45	.24
Copper ppm	4.35	25.63	5.12	3.57
Zinc ppm	46.83	38.37	39.08	21.15
Boron ppm	9.32	1.25	.55	.02
Iron ppm	25970.77	23491.36	19491.92	12119.70
Manganese ppm	722.72	339.28	319.01	226.50
Hd	4.51	6.26	5.27	6.50

Samples are reported on an "as received" basis using the dry ash method for analysis. Comment:

Date of analysis available upon request.

except in full, with out the written approval of the Laboratory	We are members of the following Sample Check Programs: Compost Analysis Proficiency Testing Program and Manure Testing Laboratory Certification Program
Report shall not be reproduced	Test values approved by:
Proprietary Rights: A Special Products Analysis	

Visit our home page on the World Wide Webwww.gov.pe.ca/af/soilfeed/index.p

Copies to:

**77** | Page

Special Products Test Report

Page 1 of 4 HOLLAND COLLEGE C/O BRYAN GRIMMELT 140 WEYMOUTH STREET CHARLOTTETOWN, PEI

C1A 4Z1

## Special Products Test Report 10/16/2019

Page 2 of 4
HOLLAND COLLEGE
C/O BRYAN GRIMMELT
140 WEYMOUTH STREET
CHARLOTTETOWN, PEI
C1A 4Z1

# PEI Analytical Laboratories PEI Department of Agriculture and Land 23 Innovation Way PO Box 2000, Charlottetown, PEI, CIA7N8

23 Innovation Way 2000, Charlottetown, PEI, CIA7, Fax: (902) 368-6299 Telephone: (902) 620-3300



Client: 6498
Accession: 5063
Samples Reported: 9/24/2019
Samples Received: 9/13/2019

Analysis Performed	Lab #: 5063-5 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample APS190813 Id:	Lab #: 5063-6 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample Id: BAP190812	Lab #: 5063-7 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample DMP190619 Id:	Lab #: 5063-8 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample ELC190806 Id:
Dry Matter % Carbon % Carbon % C:N Ratio Nitrogen % Phosphorus % Potassium % Calcium % Magnesium % Copper ppm Zinc ppm Zinc ppm Iron ppm Manganese ppm pH	98.77 4.89 18.33 .27 .03 .14 .18 .24 5.73 48.09 1.25 13429.33 235.51 6.66	98.51 3.22 15.57 .21 .07 .15 .20 .33 4.18 70.95 1.01 13050.07 298.12 6.61	98.54 2.62 16.63 1.6 6.03 .10 .05 .19 3.20 31.22 7943.23 171.00 5.58	99.03 2.10 23.56 .09 .09 .22 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27

Comment:

Samples are reported on an "as received" basis using the dry ash method for analysis.

Date of analysis available upon request.

Proprietary Rights: A Special Products Analysis Report shall not be reproduced except in full, with out the written approval of the Laboratory

Compost Analysis Proficiency Testing Program and Manure Testing Laboratory	S to: Test values approved by: We are members of the following Sample Check Programs:	we are memoers of the following Sample Check Frograms: Compost Analysis Proficiency Testing Program and Manure Testing Laboratory Certification Program	Lest values approved by:	pies to.
Compose American Acting Logisting Laboratory	Compact Analysis Ducking Described Manuer Testing Described Manuer Testing	Certification Program	<u> </u>	home more on the Wo

## Special Products Test Report 10/16/2019

Page 3 of 4
HOLLAND COLLEGE
C/O BRYAN GRIMMELT
140 WEYMOUTH STREET
CHARLOTTETOWN, PEI
C1A 4Z1

# PEI Analytical Laboratories PEI Department of Agriculture and Land 23 Innovation Way PO Box 2000, Charlottetown, PEI, CIA7N8

Fax: (902) 368-6299 Telephone: (902) 620-3300



Client: 6498
Accession: 5063
Samples Reported: 9/24/2019
Samples Received: 9/13/2019

	Lab #: 5063-12 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample JAP190814 Id:	100.00 1.92 17.45 .11 <b>&lt;</b> .03 .21 .04 .38 7.24 36.98 2.72 15655.60 306.17 6.05
	Lab #: 5063-11 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample HEP190807 Id:	99.53 3.21 17.00 1.9 6.03 1.7 1.1 5.27 50.43 14740.07 282.38 7.12
	Lab #: 5063-10 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample GOP190619	99.03 3.84 19.40 20 20 1.15 3.3 12.80 84.66 84.66 3.21 13205.92 425.55 7.62
	Lab #: 5063-9 Sample Pond Sediment Type: Sample FMP190809 Id:	99.53 1.97 16.50 .12 .18 .18 .05 .38 8.03 8.03 52.70 .42 .42 .42 .42 .42 .42 .42 .42 .42 .42
CIR 421	Analysis Performed	Dry Matter % Carbon % Carbon % C:N Ratio Nitrogen % Phosphorus % Potassium % Calcium % Magnesium % Copper ppm Zinc ppm Zinc ppm Zinc ppm Manganese ppm Manganese ppm

Comment:

Samples are reported on an "as received" basis using the dry ash method for analysis.

Date of analysis available upon request.

ne Laboratory	
oft	
approval	
written	
out the	
with	
full,	
except in	
nced	
reprod	
ot be	
hall r	
Report s	
Analysis	
Products,	
Special ]	
Rights: A	
Proprietary	

We are members of the following Sample Check Programs:	Compost Analysis Proficiency Testing Program and Manure Testing Laboratory Certification Program	
Test values approved by:	T	
Copies to:		1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1

Visit our home page on the World Wide Webwww.gov.pc.ca/af/soilfeed/index.p

# Special Products Test Report 10/16/2019

Page 4 of 4 HOLLAND COLLEGE C/O BRYAN GRIMMELT 140 WEYMOUTH STREET CHARLOTTETOWN, PEI C1A 4Z1

# PEI Analytical Laboratories PEI Department of Agriculture and Land 23 Innovation Way PO Box 2000, Charlottetown, PEI, CIA7N8

Fax: (902) 368-6299 Telephone: (902) 620-3300



Accession: 5063 Samples Reported: 9/24/2019 Samples Received: 9/13/2019 Client: 6498

	Lab #: 5063-13	Lab #: 5063-14	Lab #: 5063-15	Lab #: 5063-16	
Analysis Performed	Sample Pond Sediment Type:	Sample Pond Sediment Type:	Sample Pond Sediment Type:	Sample Pond Sediment Type:	
	Sample LSP190808 Id:	Sample Id: MNP190808	Sample REP190905 Id:	Sample HazCr0806 Id:	
Dry Matter %	91.41	97.34	72.66	100.00	
Carbon %	3.29	6.70	2.37	2.73	
C:N Ratio	15.00	13.76	15.87	22.75	
Nitrogen %	.22	.49	.15	.12	
Phosphorus %	.04	.11	₹ .03	₹ .03	
Potassium %	.18	.27	.26	.11	
Calcium %	60.	.31	.11	.12	
Magnesium %	.24	.43	.62	.27	
Copper ppm	7.25	8.89	6.93	6.12	
Zinc ppm	43.63	90.86	54.02	46.83	
Boron ppm	1.08	3.60	66.	.17	
Iron ppm	12614.65	21289.06	22121.28	11737.09	
Manganese ppm	272.38	363.25	403.20	220.85	
pH	5.47	6.25	6.07	6.82	
	*				

Comment:

Samples are reported on an "as received" basis using the dry ash method for analysis.

Date of analysis available upon request.

Proprietary Rights: A Special Products Analysis Report shall not be reproduced except in full, with out the written approval of the Laboratory

We are members of the following Sample Check Programs:	Compost Analysis Proficiency Testing Program and Manure Testing Laboratory	Certification Program	
Test values approved by:	_	7	
Copies to:			Visit our home page on the World Wide Wetway on ne ca/af/soilfeed/index n

### AGRICULTURE CANADA POND





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Client Name: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW190828009

Sample Point: Sample Location:

 Date Sampled:
 August 28, 2019
 Sampler:
 Matthew McKendrick

 Date Received:
 August 28, 2019
 Water Type:
 Surface Water - Fresh

 Water Chemistry Results
 (analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

			water Chemistry Result	S	(analysed at 25 illilovatio
Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	33	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	6	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	1934	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	2.29	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.08	ppm	0.02
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	0.55	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	102.50	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S dis-	s 5.84	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00

<6

344

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Lori Brine

Zinc, dissolved

Manganese, dissolved

Arsenic, dissolved

Strontium, dissolved

Calcium, dissolved

WCL\_07M

WCL\_07M

WCL\_07M

WCL\_07M

WCL\_07M

Approved By:

Water Microbiology Results (analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

ppb

ppb

ppb

September 11, 2019

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	350	MPN	2.00
Approved By:		Scott Brown	Date:	August 29, 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

ctu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres

\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada;
ppm = parts per million

Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

6.00

3.00

3.00

0.20

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from the laboratory.

End of Report

**81** | Page

### **MACNEILS POND**





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW190905002 Client Name: Sample Point: Sample Location: MacNeils Pond Date Sampled: September 05, 2019 Sampler: Michelle Costello Date Received: September 05, 2019 Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way) **Water Chemistry Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	Results	Units	<b>Detection Limit</b>
Sandra Pinkham					
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	175	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	35	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	50	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	3.26	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	65.90	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	21.43	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	36.22	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	14.32	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.09	ppm	0.02
Approved By:	San	dra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician		

Date of Analysis available upon request.

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way) **Water Microbiology Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit
Sandra Pinkham	1				
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	>1600	MPN	2.00
Approved By:	San	dra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician		

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres

\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada;

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed

ppm = parts per million ppb = parts per billion
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N
Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from

### **REARDONS POND**





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Sample Number: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt SW190905006 **Client Name:** 

Sample Point: Not indicated on request form Sample Location:

Date Sampled: September 05, 2019 Sampler: Michelle Costello Date Received: September 05, 2019 Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh

> (analysed at 23 Innovation Way) Water Chemistry Results

				Water Chemistry Results		()
	Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
Sa	ndra Pinkham					
	WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	19	ppb	2.00
	WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
	WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	200	ppb	9.00
	WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
	WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
	WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	53	ppb	3.00
	WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	0.63	ppm	0.10
	WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	4.88	ppm	0.20
	WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S dis	s 2.04	ppm	0.20
	WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
	WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	14.93	ppm	0.20
	WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
	WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	7.32	ppm	0.10
	WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
	WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.07	ppm	0.02

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Sandra Pinkham

Approved By:

**Water Microbiology Results** (analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit	
Sandra Pinkham	1					
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	2	MPN	2.00	
Approved By:	Sar	idra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician			

Laboratory Technician

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada; mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from

## ANDREWS POND NORTH





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Client Name: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW190828010

Sample Point: Sample Location:

Date Sampled: August 28, 2019 Sampler: MC MM AF

**Date Received:** August 28, 2019 **Water Type:** Surface Water - Fresh

### **Water Chemistry Results**

			c ,can.	-	
Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	145	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	195	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	24.46	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	< 0.02	ppm	0.02
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	2.37	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	36.27	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	9.22	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	147	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M		Arsenic, dissolved	<4	ppb	4.00
WCL_07M		Strontium, dissolved	32	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	37.75	ppm	0.20
Approved By:		Lori Brine	Date: Sept	tember 11, 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

### Water Microbiology Results

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	<2	MPN	2.00
Approved By:		Scott Brown	Date:	August 29 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millililtres
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada;
ppm = parts per million
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from the laboratory.

End of Report

### ANDREWS POND SOUTH





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

**Client Name:** Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt SW190828007 Sample Number:

Sample Point: Sample Location:

Date Sampled: August 28, 2019 Sampler: MM, AKF, MC Date Received: August 28, 2019 Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh (analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

**Water Chemistry Results** 

		•	rater Chemistry Res	uits	,
Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	Units	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	198	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	16	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	24.29	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	< 0.02	ppm	0.02
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	2.30	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	58.89	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	11.86	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	13	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M		Arsenic, dissolved	<4	ppb	4.00
WCL_07M		Strontium, dissolved	38	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	42.33	ppm	0.20
Approved By:		Lori Brine	Date:	September 11, 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

**Water Microbiology Results** 

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	23	MPN	2.00
Approved By:		Coatt Brown	Date:	August 29 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada; ppm = parts per million
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from the laboratory. End of Report

### **BARBOURS POND**





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Client Name: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW19082800.

Sample Point: Sample Location:

**Date Sampled:** August 28, 2019 Sampler: MC MM AF

**Date Received:** August 28, 2019 **Water Type:** Surface Water - Fresh

**Water Chemistry Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	170	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	18	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	47.09	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.06	ppm	0.02
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	2.14	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	28.67	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	11.01	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	16	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M		Arsenic, dissolved	<4	ppb	4.00
WCL_07M		Strontium, dissolved	32	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	23.66	ppm	0.10
Approved By:		Lori Brine	Date:	September 11, 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

### Water Microbiology Results

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	350	MPN	2.00
Approved By:		Scott Brown	Date:	August 29 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millililtres
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada;
ppm = parts per million
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from the laboratory.

End of Report

### **DEADMAN'S POND**





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Client Name: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW190905005

Sample Point: Sample Location: Not indicated on request form

Date Sampled: September 05, 2019 Sampler: Michelle Costello Date Received: September 05, 2019 Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh (analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

**Water Chemistry Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	Results	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit
Sandra Pinkham	1				
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	31	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	619	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	16	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	452	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	1.05	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	0.86	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	0.56	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	2.82	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	0.84	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.10	ppm	0.02
Approved By:	Sar	ndra Pinkham	Laboratory Technici	an	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way) **Water Microbiology Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit	
Sandra Pinkham	r					
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	540	MPN	2.00	
Approved By:	San	idra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician			
Date of Analysis	s avail	able upon request.				

### **ELLENS CREEK**





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

SW190905007 Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: **Client Name:** 

Sample Point:

Sample Location: Not indicated on request form

September 05, 2019 Date Sampled: Date Received:

Sampler: Michelle Costello

September 05, 2019

Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh

**Water Chemistry Results** 

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit
Sandra Pinkham					
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	271	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	64	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	23	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	1.94	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	33.47	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	9.85	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	33.14	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	17.56	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.06	ppm	0.02
Approved By:	San	dra Pinkham	Laboratory Technic	ian	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

**Water Microbiology Results** 

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit
Sandra Pinkham	1				
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	240	MPN	2.00
Approved By:	Sar	ndra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician		

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada;

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from

### **GOVERNORS POND**





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Client Name: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW190905004

Sample Point:

Sample Location: Not indicated on request form

Date Sampled: September 05, 2019

Date Received: September 05, 2019

Sampler: Michelle Costello
Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

**Water Chemistry Results** 

					•
Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
Sandra Pinkham	1				
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	125	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	48	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	442	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	6.36	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	406.20	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	32.32	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	67.08	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	23.96	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.07	ppm	0.02
Approved By:	Sar	ndra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician		

Date of Analysis available upon request.

**Water Microbiology Results** 

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
Sandra Pinkham	ĺ				
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	350	MPN	2.00
Approved By:	Sar	idra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician		

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres mg/L = mill \* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada; nd = not de

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from the laboratory.

### HERMITAGE POND





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Client Name: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW190905003

Sample Point: Sample Location: Not indicated on request form

Date Sampled: Michelle Costello September 05, 2019 Sampler: Water Type: Date Received: September 05, 2019 Surface Water - Fresh

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way) **Water Chemistry Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
Sandra Pinkham	ľ				
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	201	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	68	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	29	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	1.72	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	32.15	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	8.62	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	27.44	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	12.68	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.05	ppm	0.02
Approved By:	San	idra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician		

Date of Analysis available upon request.

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way) **Water Microbiology Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	Results	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit	
Sandra Pinkhan	n					
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	>1600	MPN	2.00	
Approved By:	San	dra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician			
Date of Analysi	e avail	able upon request				

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada;

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed

ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million ppb = parts per billion
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N
Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from

## JARDINES POND





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

Client Name: Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: SW190828006

Sample Point: Sample Location:

Date Sampled: August 28, 2019 Sampler: MM MC AF

Date Received: August 28, 2019 Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh (analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

**Water Chemistry Results** 

		****	or chombary ra	·	•
Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	36	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	88	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	6.89	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.02	ppm	0.02
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	1.88	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	11.38	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	7.07	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	48	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M		Arsenic, dissolved	<4	ppb	4.00
WCL_07M		Strontium, dissolved	20	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	19.77	ppm	0.20
Approved By:		Lori Brine	Date:	September 11, 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request

**Water Microbiology Results** 

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	110	MPN	2.00
Approved By:		Scott Brown	Date:	August 29, 2019	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number
cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millililites
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada;

ppm = parts per million
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from the End of Report

### LOWER SLICKS POND





### PEI Analytical Laboratories - Water Quality Test Report

23 Innovation Way, Charlottetown, PE C1E 0B7

Page 1 of 1

SW190905001 Holland College: Bryan Grimmelt Sample Number: **Client Name:** 

Sample Point:

Sample Location: Lower Slicks Pond September 05, 2019 Date Sampled: Sampler: Michelle Costello Date Received: September 05, 2019 Water Type: Surface Water - Fresh

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way) **Water Chemistry Results** 

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	Detection Limit
Sandra Pinkham					
WCL_07M	*	Barium, dissolved	85	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Copper, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Iron, dissolved	64	ppb	9.00
WCL_07M	*	Lead, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Zinc, dissolved	<6	ppb	6.00
WCL_07M	*	Manganese, dissolved	155	ppb	3.00
WCL_07M	*	Potassium, dissolved	3.62	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Sodium, dissolved	56.31	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Sulfate, calc from S diss	24.60	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Cadmium, dissolved	<2	ppb	2.00
WCL_07M	*	Calcium, dissolved	29.57	ppm	0.20
WCL_07M	*	Chromium, dissolved	<5	ppb	5.00
WCL_07M	*	Magnesium, dissolved	8.69	ppm	0.10
WCL_07M	*	Nickel, dissolved	<7	ppb	7.00
WCL_07M	*	Phosphorus, dissolved	0.05	ppm	0.02
Approved By:	San	dra Pinkham	Laboratory Technici	an	

Date of Analysis available upon request.

**Water Microbiology Results** 

(analysed at 23 Innovation Way)

Method ID		<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Units</u>	<b>Detection Limit</b>
Sandra Pinkham	1				
WML_09M	*	Faecal coliforms A1	<2	MPN	2.00
Approved By:	Sar	ndra Pinkham	Laboratory Technician		

Date of Analysis available upon request. Legend: MPN = Most Probable Number

cfu/100 mls = colony forming unit per 100 millilitres
\* = method accredited by Standards Council of Canada; mg/L = milligrams per litre nd = not detected; na = not analysed ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million
Ammonia is equivelent to (Ammonia + Ammonium)-N

Results in this report relate only to those parameters tested. This report may not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from

Table 1 Summary of Surface Water results and Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines

		Canadian													
		Environmen	G	D	L	M	Н	F	Α	E	J	В	Α	Α	R
Parameter	Unit	tal Quality	О	M	s	N	Е	M	C	L	A	Α	P	P	E
		Guidelines	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	C	P	P	N	s	P
		(CEQG)													
		CCME 2014													
Barium, dissolved	ppb	=	125	31	85	175	201	46	33	271	36	170	145	198	19
Cadmium, dissolved	ppb	0.12	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Chromium, dissolved	ppb	-	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<5	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<5	<5	<5	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<b>&lt;</b> 5				
Copper, dissolved	ppb	-	<b>&lt;</b> 5	9	6	<b>&lt;</b> 5									
Iron, dissolved	ppb	300	48	619	64	35	68	226	1934	64	88	18	195	16	200
Nickel, dissolved	ppb	-	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7	9	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7	<7
Magnesium, dissolved	ppm	-	23.96	0.84	8.69	14.32	12.68	2.28	2.29	17.56	6.89	23.66	24.46	24.29	7.32
Phosphorus, dissolved	ppm	-	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.02	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	0.07
Potassium, dissolved	ppm	-	6.36	1.05	3.62	3.26	1.72	1.25	0.55	1.94	1.88	2.14	2.37	2.30	0.63
Sodium, dissolved	ppm	-	406.20	0.86	56.31	65.90	32.15	27.50	102.50	33.47	11.38	28.67	36.27	58.89	4.88
Sulfate, calc from S dissolved	ppm	ı	32.32	0.56	24.60	21.43	8.62	22.15	5.84	9.85	7.07	11.01	9.22	11.86	2.04
Lead, dissolved	ppb	1 to 7 <sup>1</sup>	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6
Zinc, dissolved	ppb	37 - 7 <sup>2</sup>	<6	16	<6	<6	<6	32	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6	<6
Manganese, dissolved	ppb	1	442	452	155	50	29	28	344	23	48	16	147	13	53
Arsenic, dissolved	ppb	-	-	-	-	-	-	<4	<4	-	<4	<4	<4	<4	-
Strontium, dissolved	ppb	1	1	1	-	-	-	62	47	1	20	32	32	38	1
Calcium, dissolved	ppm	-	67.08	2.82	29.57	36.22	27.44	12.48	15.73	33.14	19.77	47.09	37.75	42.33	14.93
<sup>2</sup> CEQG guideline is hardness dependent: 1 μg/L at [CaCO3] = 0 to 60 mg/L; 2 μg/L at [CaCO3] = 60 to 120 mg/L; 4 μg/L at [CaCO3] = 120 to 180 mg/L; 7 μg/L at [CaCO3] > 180 mg/L. <sup>1</sup> Canadian Water Quality Guidelines (CWQGs) for the Protection of Aquatic Life for Dissolved Zinc for Specified Water Quality Conditions. Fresh water. Short-term exposure (37ppb) Long-term exposure (ppb)															
AO - aesthetic objective															
CEQG - Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines															
CCME - Canadian Council of Minist	ers of t	he Environment													

Table 2 Summary of Sediments results and Canadian Environmental Guidelines

Analysis	Unit	CCME 2002	2	GOP	DMP	LSP	MNP	HEP	FMP	ACP	JAP	BAP	APN	APS	ELC	REP
		ISQG	PEL													
Dry Matter	%	-	-	99.03	98.54	91.41	97.34	99.53	99.53	98.37	100.00	98.51	99.52	98.77	99.03	99.77
Carbon	%	-	-	3.84	2.62	3.29	6.70	3.21	1.97	1.75	1.92	3.22	1.97	4.89	2.10	2.37
C:N Ratio		-	-	19.40	16.63	15.00	13.76	17.00	16.50	16.18	17.45	15.57	18.00	18.33	23.56	15.87
Nitrogen	%	-	-	0.20	0.16	0.22	0.49	0.19	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.21	0.11	0.27	0.09	0.15
Phosphorus	%			<0.03	< 0.03	0.04	0.11	<0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.07	<0.03	0.03	< 0.03	<0.03
Potassium	%	-	-	0.15	0.10	0.18	0.27	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.15	0.10	0.14	0.09	0.26
Calcium	%	-	-	0.33	0.05	0.09	0.31	0.11	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.20	0.60	0.18	0.22	0.11
Magnesium	%	-	-	0.30	0.19	0.24	0.43	0.39	0.38	0.45	0.38	0.33	0.24	0.24	0.27	0.62
Copper	ppm	35.7	197	12.80	3.20	7.25	8.89	5.27	8.03	5.12	7.24	4.18	3.57	5.73	5.23	6.93
Zinc	ppm	123	315	84.66	31.22	43.63	90.86	50.43	52.70	39.08	36.98	70.95	21.15	48.09	49.46	54.02
Boron	ppm	-	-	3.21	-	1.08	3.60	0.93	0.42	0.55	2.72	1.01	0.02	1.25	-	0.99
Iron	ppm	-	-	13205.92	7943.23	12614.65	21289.06	14740.07	14683.67	19491.92	15655.60	13050.07	12119.70	13429.33	12208.64	22121.28
Manganese	ppm	-	-	425.55	171.00	272.38	363.25	282.38	256.49	319.01	306.17	298.12	226.50	235.51	287.07	403.20
рН		-	-	7.62	5.58	5.47	6.25	7.12	6.06	5.27	6.05	6.61	6.50	6.66	7.23	6.07
Note:																
ISQG - Interin Freshwater Sediment Quality Guidelines																
PEL - Permissib	ole Expo	sure Limit														